

## Research Article

# Picard Sequence and Fixed Point Results on $b$ -Metric Spaces

**Marta Demma and Pasquale Vetro**

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy*

Correspondence should be addressed to Pasquale Vetro; [pasquale.vetro@unipa.it](mailto:pasquale.vetro@unipa.it)

Received 29 October 2014; Revised 15 February 2015; Accepted 17 February 2015

Academic Editor: Sompong Dhompongsa

Copyright © 2015 M. Demma and P. Vetro. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We obtain some fixed point results for single-valued and multivalued mappings in the setting of a  $b$ -metric space. These results are generalizations of the analogous ones recently proved by Khojasteh, Abbas, and Costache.

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the contraction mapping principle, formulated and proved in the Ph.D. dissertation of Banach in 1920, which was published in 1922 [1], is one of the most important theorems in classical functional analysis. It is widely considered as the source of metric fixed point theory. Also its significance lies in its vast applicability in a number of branches of mathematics. The study of fixed points of mappings satisfying a certain metrical contractive condition attracted many researchers; see, for example, [2–10].

The concept of  $b$ -metric space appeared in some works, such as Bakhtin [11] and Czerwik [12, 13]. In dealing with the fixed point theory for single-valued and multivalued mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, seven years later, Khamsi [14] and Khamsi and Hussain [15] reintroduced such spaces under the name of metric-type spaces. For some results of fixed and common fixed point in the setting of  $b$ -metric spaces, see [3, 14–18].

In the first part of this paper we consider a class of Picard sequences with a property of regularity and prove that these sequences are Cauchy. Then we use this result for establishing existence of fixed points for single-valued mappings. The last part contains a fixed point result for multivalued mappings on complete  $b$ -metric spaces. Clearly, these results are a generalization of the results recently obtained from Khojasteh et al. in [5].

## 2. Preliminaries

The aim of this section is to collect some relevant definitions and results for our further use. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers,  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers, and  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the set of nonnegative real numbers.

*Definition 1.* Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be a  $b$ -metric if and only if for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (3)  $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ .

A triplet  $(X, d, s)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space.

We observe that a  $b$ -metric space is a metric space if  $s = 1$ . So the notions of convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence, and complete space are defined as in metric spaces.

Next, we give some examples of  $b$ -metric spaces.

*Example 2.* Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ , for each  $x, y \in X$ . Clearly,  $(X, d, 2)$  is a  $b$ -metric space.

**Example 3.** Let  $C_b(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| < +\infty\}$  and let  $\|f\| = \sqrt[3]{\|f^3\|_\infty}$ . The function  $d : C_b(X) \times C_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|, \quad \forall f, g \in C_b(X) \quad (1)$$

is a  $b$ -metric with constant  $s = \sqrt[3]{4}$  and so  $(C_b(X), d, \sqrt[3]{4})$  is a  $b$ -metric space.

We note that if  $a, b$  are two nonnegative real numbers, then

$$(a + b)^3 \leq 4(a^3 + b^3), \quad \sqrt[3]{a + b} \leq \sqrt[3]{a} + \sqrt[3]{b}. \quad (2)$$

This implies that

$$d(f, g) \leq \sqrt[3]{4}(d(f, h) + d(h, g)), \quad \forall f, g, h \in C_b(X). \quad (3)$$

The following results are useful for some of the proofs in the paper.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . If  $x_n \rightarrow y$  and  $x_n \rightarrow z$ , then  $y = z$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and let  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset X$ . Then

$$d(x_n, x_0) \leq sd(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + s^{n-1}d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + s^{n-1}d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \quad (4)$$

From Lemma 5, we deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d, s)$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad (5)$$

for some  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1/s$ , and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and let  $CB(X)$  be the collection of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $X$ . For  $A, B \in CB(X)$ , define

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\delta(A, B), \delta(B, A)\}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A, B) &= \sup\{d(a, B) : a \in A\}, \\ \delta(B, A) &= \sup\{d(b, A) : b \in B\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with

$$d(a, C) = \inf\{d(a, x) : x \in C\}. \quad (8)$$

Note that  $H$  is called the Hausdorff  $b$ -metric induced by the  $b$ -metric  $d$ .

We recall the following properties from Czerwik et al. [12, 13, 19].

**Lemma 7.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. For any  $A, B, C \in CB(X)$  and any  $x, y \in X$ , we have the following:

- (i)  $d(x, B) \leq d(x, b)$ , for any  $b \in B$ ;
- (ii)  $\delta(A, B) \leq H(A, B)$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x, B) \leq H(A, B)$ , for any  $x \in A$ ;
- (iv)  $H(A, A) = 0$ ;
- (v)  $H(A, B) = H(B, A)$ ;
- (vi)  $H(A, C) \leq s[H(A, B) + H(B, C)]$ ;
- (vii)  $d(x, A) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, A)]$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and  $A, B \in CB(X)$  with  $H(A, B) > 0$ . Then for each  $h > 1$  and for all  $b \in B$  there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq hH(A, B)$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. For  $A \in CB(X)$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$d(x, A) = 0 \iff x \in \bar{A} = A, \quad (9)$$

where  $\bar{A}$  denotes the closure of the set  $A$ .

### 3. Picard Sequence in $b$ -Metric Spaces

Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space, let  $x_0 \in X$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n = f^n x_0 = f x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is called a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ . In this section we consider a class of Picard sequences which are Cauchy.

**Proposition 10.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping. Assume that a Picard sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad (10)$$

or

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left( \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a} \right)^{1/2} \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad (11)$$

where  $a$  is a positive real number. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ . If  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0-1}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $f$  and so  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Assume that (10) holds for the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . If  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from (10), we deduce that the sequence  $\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$  is decreasing. Thus there exists a nonnegative real number  $r$  such that  $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \rightarrow r$ . Then we claim that

$r = 0$ . If  $r > 0$ , on taking limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  on both sides of (10), we obtain

$$r \leq \frac{r+r}{r+r+1}r < r \quad (12)$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that  $r = 0$ .

Now, we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $\lambda \in [0, s^{-1}]$ . Since  $r = 0$ , then there exists  $n(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} \leq \lambda, \quad \forall n \geq n(\lambda). \quad (13)$$

This implies that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad \forall n \geq n(\lambda) \quad (14)$$

and by Lemma 6 we deduce again that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

The proof that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if (II) holds is the same.  $\square$

As a consequence of the previous result, we establish the following result of existence of fixed points.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space and let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$sd(fx, fy) \leq \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1} d(x, y) \quad (15)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then

- (i)  $f$  has at least one fixed point  $z \in X$ ;
- (ii) every Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (iii) if  $z, w \in X$  are two distinct fixed points of  $f$ , then  $d(z, w) \geq s/2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ . If  $x_n = x_{n-1}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . If  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , using the contractive condition (15) with  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \frac{s[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} d(x_{n-1}, x_n); \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

that is, condition (10) holds for the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . Then, by Proposition 10,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to

some  $z \in X$ . Now, we prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Using (15) with  $x = x_n$  and  $y = z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_{n+1}, fz) &= sd(fx_n, fz) \\ &\leq \frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, fx_n)}{d(x_n, fx_n) + d(z, fz) + 1} d(x_n, z) \\ &= \frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, fz) + 1} d(x_n, z). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Moreover, from

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, fz) - sd(x_n, z) &\leq sd(x_n, fz) \\ &\leq s^2 [d(x_n, z) + d(z, fz)] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, fz) &\leq \liminf sd(x_n, fz) \\ &\leq \limsup sd(x_n, fz) \leq s^2 d(z, fz). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

On taking  $\liminf$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , on both sides of (17), by (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, fz) &\leq \liminf sd(x_{n+1}, fz) \\ &\leq \frac{s^2 d(z, fz)}{d(z, fz) + 1} \limsup d(x_n, z) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This implies that  $d(z, fz) = 0$ ; that is,  $z = fz$  and hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Thus (i) and (ii) hold.

If  $w \in X$ , with  $z \neq w$ , is another fixed point of  $f$ , then using (15) with  $x = z$  and  $y = w$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} sd(z, w) &= sd(fz, fw) \\ &\leq [d(z, fw) + d(w, fz)] d(z, w) \\ &= 2d^2(z, w) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and hence  $d(z, w) \geq s/2$ ; that is, (iii) holds.  $\square$

**Remark 12.** From Theorem 11, we obtain Theorem 1 of [5] if  $s = 1$ ; that is, if  $(X, d, s)$  is a metric space.

In the following result we consider a weak contractive condition.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space and let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} sd(fx, fy) &\leq \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1} d(x, y) \\ &\quad + Ld(y, fx) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $L$  is a nonnegative real number. Then

- (i)  $f$  has at least one fixed point  $z \in X$ ;

- (ii) every Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0 \in X$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (iii) if  $z, w \in X$  are two distinct fixed points of  $f$ , then  $d(z, w) \geq \max\{(s - L)/2, 0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Picard sequence of initial point  $x_0$ . If  $x_n = x_{n-1}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . If  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , using the contractive condition (22) with  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + Ld(x_n, x_n) \\ &\leq \frac{s[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} d(x_{n-1}, x_n); \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

that is, condition (10) holds for the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . Then, by Proposition 10,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some  $z \in X$ . Now, we prove that  $z$  is a fixed point for  $f$ . Using (22) with  $x = x_n$  and  $y = z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_{n+1}, fz) &= sd(fx_n, fz) \\ &\leq \frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, fx_n)}{d(x_n, fx_n) + d(z, fz) + 1} d(x_n, z) + Ld(z, fx_n) \\ &= \frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, fz) + 1} d(x_n, z) + Ld(z, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

On taking  $\liminf$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  on both sides of (24), by (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, fz) &\leq \liminf sd(x_{n+1}, fz) \\ &\leq \frac{s^2 d(z, fz)}{d(z, fz) + 1} \limsup d(x_n, z) \\ &\quad + \liminf Ld(z, x_{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This implies that  $d(z, fz) = 0$ ; that is,  $z = fz$  and hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Thus (i) and (ii) hold.

If  $w \in X$ , with  $z \neq w$ , is another fixed point of  $f$ , then using (22) with  $x = z$  and  $y = w$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} sd(z, w) &= sd(fz, fw) \\ &\leq [d(z, fw) + d(w, fz)] d(z, w) + Ld(w, fz) \\ &= 2d^2(z, w) + Ld(z, w) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and hence  $s \leq 2d(z, w) + L$ ; that is, (iii) holds.  $\square$

Next examples illustrate Theorem 11.

*Example 14.* Let  $X = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d(\alpha, \beta) &= d(\alpha, \delta) = d(\gamma, \delta) = 2, \\ d(\alpha, \gamma) &= d(\beta, \delta) = 3, \\ d(\beta, \gamma) &= 6, \\ d(x, y) &= d(y, x), \quad d(x, x) = 0 \\ &\quad \forall x, y \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Clearly,  $(X, d, 6/5)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space. Consider the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$fx = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } x \in \{\alpha, \gamma, \delta\} \\ \beta & \text{if } x = \beta. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f\alpha, f\beta) &= d(\alpha, \beta) = 2, \\ d(f\alpha, f\gamma) &= d(f\alpha, f\delta) = d(\alpha, \alpha) = 0, \\ d(f\beta, f\gamma) &= d(f\beta, f\delta) = d(\beta, \alpha) = 2, \\ d(f\gamma, f\delta) &= d(\alpha, \alpha) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{5}d(f\alpha, f\beta) &= \frac{12}{5} < 8 = \frac{d(\alpha, f\beta) + d(\beta, f\alpha)}{d(\alpha, f\alpha) + d(\beta, f\beta) + 1} d(\alpha, \beta), \\ \frac{6}{5}d(f\beta, f\gamma) &= \frac{12}{5} < 12 = \frac{d(\beta, f\gamma) + d(\gamma, f\beta)}{d(\beta, f\beta) + d(\gamma, f\gamma) + 1} d(\beta, \gamma), \\ \frac{6}{5}d(f\beta, f\delta) &= \frac{12}{5} < 5 = \frac{d(\beta, f\delta) + d(\delta, f\beta)}{d(\beta, f\beta) + d(\delta, f\delta) + 1} d(\beta, \delta). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Thus all the hypotheses of Theorem 11 are satisfied. In this case  $f$  has two fixed points  $x = \alpha, \beta$  and  $d(\alpha, \beta) \geq s/2$ . Clearly,  $f$  is not a contraction.

*Example 15.* Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 + (x - y)^2 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Define  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by  $fx = x$ . Clearly,  $(X, d, 2)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space. For all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} sd(fx, fy) &= 2(1 + (x - y)^2) \\ &\leq (1 + (x - y)^2 + 1 + (x - y)^2)(1 + (x - y)^2) \\ &= \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1} d(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Then all the hypotheses of Theorem 11 are satisfied. In this case  $f$  has infinite fixed points. Clearly,  $f$  is not a contraction.

#### 4. Fixed Points for Multivalued Mappings in $b$ -Metric Spaces

In this section, we give a result of existence of fixed point for a class of multivalued mappings.

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping such that*

$$sH(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 3a} d(x, y) \quad (33)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $a$  is a positive real number. Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $z \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $d(x_0, x_1) \leq d(x_0, Tx_0) + a$ . Clearly, if  $x_0 = x_1$  or  $x_1 \in Tx_1$ , we deduce that  $x_1$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and so we can conclude the proof. Now, we assume that  $x_0 \neq x_1$  and  $x_1 \notin Tx_1$  and hence  $d(x_1, Tx_1) > 0$ . This implies that  $H(Tx_0, Tx_1) > 0$ . Now, we set

$$h_1 = \sqrt{\frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, Tx_0) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 3a}} < 1. \quad (34)$$

By Lemma 8 one can choose  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq \min \left\{ d(x_1, Tx_1) + a, \frac{1}{h_1} H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \right\}. \quad (35)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_1, x_2) &\leq \frac{s}{h_1} H(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_1} \frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, Tx_0) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 3a} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{d(x_0, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, Tx_0) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 3a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{d(x_0, x_2)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq s \left( \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This implies

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq \left( \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_0, x_1). \quad (37)$$

Now, we suppose to have chosen  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that  $x_{i+1} \in Tx_i$ ,  $x_i \notin Tx_i$ , and

$$d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \left( \frac{d(x_{i-1}, x_i) + d(x_i, x_{i+1})}{d(x_{i-1}, x_i) + d(x_i, x_{i+1}) + a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (38)$$

We set

$$h_n = \sqrt{\frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Tx_n) + 3a}} < 1. \quad (39)$$

Again, by Lemma 8, one can choose  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \min \left\{ d(x_n, Tx_n) + a, \frac{1}{h_n} H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \right\}. \quad (40)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{s}{h_n} H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_n} \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Tx_n) + 3a} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq s \left( \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

From this, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left( \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a} \right)^{1/2} d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \quad (42)$$

If  $x_{n+1} = x_n$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and the proof is finished. If  $x_n \notin Tx_n$ , iterating this procedure we construct a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ ,  $x_n \notin Tx_n$ , and (42) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by Proposition 10,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some  $z \in X$ . Now, we prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Using (33) with  $x = x_n$  and  $y = z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tz) &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sd(x_{n+1}, Tz) \\ &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sH(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + \frac{d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n)}{d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) + 3a} d(x_n, z) \\ &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + \frac{sd(x_n, z) + sd(z, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, Tz) + 2a} \\ &\quad \cdot d(x_n, z). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$



On taking limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  on both sides, we get  $d(z, Tz) = 0$ . As  $Tz$  is closed, by Lemma 9, we obtain that  $z \in Tz$ ; that is,  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .  $\square$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 16, we establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping such that*

$$sH(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 3a} d(x, y) + Ld(y, Tx) \quad (44)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $a$  is a positive real number and  $L$  a nonnegative real number. Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $z \in X$ .

**Example 18.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 2 + (x - y)^2 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

Define  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  by  $Tx = [x, x + 1]$  for all  $x \in X$ . Clearly,  $(X, d, 2)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space. For all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x < y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} sH(Tx, Ty) &= 2(2 + (x - y)^2) \\ &\leq (2 + (x - y)^2)(2 + (x - y)^2) \\ &\leq \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1} d(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Then all the hypotheses of Theorem 16 are satisfied with  $a = 1/3$ . In this case  $T$  has infinite fixed points. Clearly,  $T$  is not a multivalued contraction.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for their helpful comments.

## References

- [1] S. Banach, "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [2] V. Berinde, "Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces," in *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory*, Preprint no. 3, pp. 3–9, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1993.
- [3] M. Cosentino, P. Salimi, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point results on metric-type spaces," *Acta Mathematica Scientia Series B*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1237–1253, 2014.
- [4] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 332, no. 2, pp. 1468–1476, 2007.
- [5] F. Khojasteh, M. Abbas, and S. Costache, "Two new types of fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 325840, 5 pages, 2014.
- [6] D. Reem, S. Reich, and A. J. Zaslavski, "Two results in metric fixed point theory," *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 149–157, 2007.
- [7] S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, "A fixed point theorem for Matkowski contractions," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 303–307, 2007.
- [8] S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, "A note on Rakotch contractions," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 267–273, 2008.
- [9] I. A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2001.
- [10] I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel, and G. Petruşel, *Fixed Point Theory*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2008.
- [11] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces," *Functional Analysis (Ulyanovsk)*, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989.
- [12] S. Czerwik, "Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces," *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, vol. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993.
- [13] S. Czerwik, "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces," *Atti del Seminario matematico e fisico dell'Università di Modena*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 263–276, 1998.
- [14] M. A. Khamsi, "Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 315398, 7 pages, 2010.
- [15] M. A. Khamsi and N. Hussain, "KKM mappings in metric type spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 73, no. 9, pp. 3123–3129, 2010.
- [16] M. Cicchese, "Questioni di completezza e contrazioni in spazi metrici generalizzati," *Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana*, vol. 5, pp. 175–179, 1976.
- [17] M. B. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, "Fixed points for multivalued mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. In press.
- [18] M. Jovanović, Z. Kadelburg, and S. Radenović, "Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 978121, 2010.
- [19] S. Czerwik, K. Dłutek, and S. L. Singh, "Round-off stability of iteration procedures for set-valued operators in  $b$ -metric spaces," *Journal of Natural & Physical Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 1-2, pp. 1–8, 2001.

